

M.F. MOOIBROEK  
KBS Advocaten  
Postbus 13086  
3507 LB UTRECHT  
THE NETHERLANDS

ECHR-LE11.00R  
ELG/JPL/gm

18/09/2025


**Application no. 21912/25**  
**Van Walraven v. the Netherlands**

The European Court of Human Rights, sitting in a single-judge formation, decided to declare the application referred to above inadmissible.

Please find enclosed the decision reached by the Court.

This decision is final and is not subject to appeal, whether this be to a Committee, a Chamber or the Grand Chamber. Consequently, no further correspondence will be sent by the Court in connection with this case. In accordance with the Court's archiving practice, the file will be kept no longer than one year after the date of the decision.

The Registry of the European Court of Human Rights



EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS  
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

## DECISION

### CASE OF VAN WALRAVEN v. THE NETHERLANDS

*(Application no. 21912/25)*  
*introduced on 11 July 2025*

The European Court of Human Rights, sitting on 11 September 2025 in a single-judge formation pursuant to Articles 24 § 2 and 27 of the Convention, has examined the application as submitted.

The application refers to Article 2 § 1 of the Convention, Article 6 § 1 of the Convention and Article 7 § 1 of the Convention.


As concerns the complaints raised under Article 2 § 1 of the Convention, the Court finds that the applicant was not sufficiently affected by the alleged breach of the Convention or the Protocols thereto to claim to be the victim of a violation within the meaning of Article 34 of the Convention. Accordingly, these complaints are incompatible *ratione personae* with the provisions of the Convention within the meaning of Article 35 § 3 (a).

As concerns the complaints raised under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention and Article 7 § 1 of the Convention, the Court, relying on its case-law (see, in particular, *Moreira Ferreira v. Portugal (no. 2)* [GC], no. 19867/12, §§ 83-84, 11 July 2017, *Haarde v. Iceland*, no. 66847/12, § 127, 23 November 2017 and *Lekić v. Slovenia [GC]*, no. 36480/07, § 97, 11 December 2018), finds in the light of all the material in its possession that the matters complained of do not disclose any appearance of a violation of the rights and freedoms set out in the Convention or the Protocols thereto. Accordingly, these complaints are manifestly ill-founded within the meaning of Article 35 § 3 (a).

The Court *declares* the application inadmissible.

*D. Derenčinović*

Davor Derenčinović  
Judge



M.F. MOOIBROEK  
KBS Advocaten  
Postbus 13086  
3507 LB UTRECHT  
THE NETHERLANDS

ECHR-LE11.00R  
ELG/JPL/gm

18/09/2025

**Application no. 21997/25**  
**Elens v. the Netherlands**

The European Court of Human Rights, sitting in a single-judge formation, decided to declare the application referred to above inadmissible.

Please find enclosed the decision reached by the Court.

This decision is final and is not subject to appeal, whether this be to a Committee, a Chamber or the Grand Chamber. Consequently, no further correspondence will be sent by the Court in connection with this case. In accordance with the Court's archiving practice, the file will be kept no longer than one year after the date of the decision.

The Registry of the European Court of Human Rights

## DECISION

### CASE OF ELENS v. THE NETHERLANDS

(Application no. 21997/25)  
introduced on 11 July 2025

The European Court of Human Rights, sitting on 11 September 2025 in a single-judge formation pursuant to Articles 24 § 2 and 27 of the Convention, has examined the application as submitted.

As concerns the complaints raised under Article 2 § 1 of the Convention, the Court finds that the applicant was not sufficiently affected by the alleged breach of the Convention or the Protocols thereto to claim to be the victim of a violation within the meaning of Article 34 of the Convention. Accordingly, these complaints are incompatible *ratione personae* with the provisions of the Convention within the meaning of Article 35 § 3 (a).


As concerns the complaint about the principle of *ne bis in idem*, raised under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention, the Court, relying on its case-law (see, in particular, *De Saedeleer v. Belgium*, no. 27535/04, §§ 67 – 69, 24 July 2007), finds that this part of the application is *incompatible ratione materiae*.

As concerns the other complaints raised under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention and the complaints raised under Article 7 § 1 of the Convention, the Court, relying on its case-law (see, in particular, *Moreira Ferreira v. Portugal (no. 2)* [GC], no. 19867/12, §§ 83-84, 11 July 2017, *Haarde v. Iceland*, no. 66847/12, § 127, 23 November 2017 and *Lekić v. Slovenia* [GC], no. 36480/07, § 97, 11 December 2018), finds in the light of all the material in its possession that the matters complained of do not disclose any appearance of a violation of the rights and freedoms set out in the Convention or the Protocols thereto. Accordingly, these complaints are manifestly ill-founded within the meaning of Article 35 § 3 (a).

The Court *declares* the application inadmissible.



Davor Derenčinović  
Judge



M.F. MOOIBROEK  
KBS Advocaten  
Postbus 13086  
3507 LB UTRECHT  
THE NETHERLANDS

ECHR-LE11.00R  
ELG/JPL/gm

18/09/2025


**Application no. 21920/25**  
**Deutsch v. the Netherlands**

The European Court of Human Rights, sitting in a single-judge formation, decided to declare the application referred to above inadmissible.

Please find enclosed the decision reached by the Court.

This decision is final and is not subject to appeal, whether this be to a Committee, a Chamber or the Grand Chamber. Consequently, no further correspondence will be sent by the Court in connection with this case. In accordance with the Court's archiving practice, the file will be kept no longer than one year after the date of the decision.

The Registry of the European Court of Human Rights



EUROPEAN COURT OF HUMAN RIGHTS  
COUR EUROPÉENNE DES DROITS DE L'HOMME

## DECISION

### CASE OF DEUTSCH v. THE NETHERLANDS

*(Application no. 21920/25)*  
*introduced on 11 July 2025*

The European Court of Human Rights, sitting on 11 September 2025 in a single-judge formation pursuant to Articles 24 § 2 and 27 of the Convention, has examined the application as submitted.

The application refers to Article 2 § 1 of the Convention, Article 6 § 1 of the Convention and Article 7 § 1 of the Convention.

As concerns the complaints raised under Article 2 § 1 of the Convention, the Court finds that the applicant was not sufficiently affected by the alleged breach of the Convention or the Protocols thereto to claim to be the victim of a violation within the meaning of Article 34 of the Convention. Accordingly, these complaints are incompatible *ratione personae* with the provisions of the Convention within the meaning of Article 35 § 3 (a).

As concerns the complaints raised under Article 6 § 1 of the Convention and Article 7 § 1 of the Convention, the Court, relying on its case-law (see, in particular, *Moreira Ferreira v. Portugal (no. 2)* [GC], no. 19867/12, §§ 83-84, 11 July 2017, *Haarde v. Iceland*, no. 66847/12, § 127, 23 November 2017 and *Lekić v. Slovenia [GC]*, no. 36480/07, § 97, 11 December 2018), finds in the light of all the material in its possession that the matters complained of do not disclose any appearance of a violation of the rights and freedoms set out in the Convention or the Protocols thereto. Accordingly, these complaints are manifestly ill-founded within the meaning of Article 35 § 3 (a).

The Court *declares* the application inadmissible.

*D. Derenčinović*

Davor Derenčinović  
Judge